

Exploring the Path to High-Quality Development of International Chinese Education Empowered by Artificial Intelligence

Wenpan Guo^{1,2,*}

¹ School of Literature, Taiyuan Normal University, Taiyuan 030600, China

*** Correspondence:**

Wenpan Guo

2787360340@qq.com

Received: 12 March 2026/ Accepted: 10 June 2026/ Published online: 15 June 2026

Abstract

Artificial intelligence is entering international Chinese language education through conversational systems, automated feedback, learning analytics, and immersive environments. This article uses a SWOT framework to examine the educational value and implementation conditions of these applications. The analysis draws on research in artificial intelligence in education, technology-assisted language learning, intercultural education, and recent policy documents. AI can extend access to instruction, support differentiated practice, and reduce routine work in lesson preparation and assessment. Its educational value is constrained by weak pragmatic understanding, unreliable generated content, uneven digital infrastructure, privacy risks, and cultural bias. The central issue is therefore the quality of institutional use, not the mere availability of a model or platform. The article proposes five priorities: context-specific technical standards, sustained teacher development, localized and adaptive learning resources, data and algorithm governance, and staged evaluation before large-scale adoption. These priorities place teachers in control of instructional decisions while using AI for tasks in which rapid analysis, feedback, and resource generation can add demonstrable value.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; International Chinese Language Education; Swot Analysis; Language Learning; Digital Education

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence has moved from a specialist technology to a general-purpose educational tool. Intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment, speech recognition, learning analytics, and generative language models now support tasks that once required separate platforms. Reviews of artificial intelligence in education report applications across teaching, learning, assessment, and administration, but they also show that educational outcomes depend heavily on instructional design and local conditions (Chiu et al., 2023; Crompton & Burke, 2023; Hwang et al., 2020). The

availability of a capable model does not establish its pedagogical value. Educators still need to decide what the system should do, what evidence counts as acceptable performance, and where human judgment must remain decisive.

International Chinese language education presents a particularly demanding case. Learners differ in proficiency, first language, educational experience, motivation, and cultural background. Effective instruction must address linguistic form and communicative use while also explaining meanings that depend on context, register, social relationships, and cultural conventions. Recent Chinese scholarship has examined digital transformation, intelligent teaching resources, and the use of generative AI in this field (Jin, 2023; Qu & Ding, 2023; Wang, 2023; Zhang et al., 2024). International research on AI-assisted second-language learning likewise identifies opportunities for dialogue practice, feedback, materials development, and learner autonomy (Kohnke et al., 2023; Yang & Li, 2024). These studies provide a substantial basis for evaluating AI, yet the discussion often separates technical affordances from infrastructure, governance, and intercultural consequences.

China's policy environment has encouraged the coordinated development of artificial intelligence and digital education. The New Generation Artificial Intelligence Development Plan identified intelligent education as an important application area, and the Education Informatization 2.0 Action Plan promoted broader access to digital learning and improved teachers' capacity to use technology (Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, 2018; State Council of the People's Republic of China, 2017). More recent national guidance has emphasized standards for data, algorithms, products, services, and security (Ministry of Industry and Information Technology et al., 2024). These policies create favorable conditions for experimentation, but they also raise the standard of evidence required for responsible deployment.

The present article asks how artificial intelligence can contribute to high quality development in international Chinese language education and what conditions are necessary for that contribution. It applies a SWOT framework to distinguish internal capabilities and limitations from external opportunities and threats. The analysis then converts those findings into a set of institutional priorities. This approach connects classroom practice with the technical, organizational, and ethical conditions that determine whether an AI application can be sustained.

2. Analytical Framework and Research Approach

SWOT analysis separates internal strengths and weaknesses from opportunities and threats in the surrounding environment. Its value lies in linking diagnosis to action, although the categories can become vague when claims are not tied to evidence or a clearly defined unit of analysis (Helms & Nixon, 2010). In this article, the unit of analysis is the institutional use of AI in international Chinese language education. Strengths and weaknesses concern the capabilities and limits of AI-supported teaching systems as they are currently used. Opportunities and threats concern policy, infrastructure, competition, public trust, and cultural conditions beyond a single course or institution.

The discussion synthesizes peer-reviewed work on artificial intelligence in education, generative AI, second-language learning, immersive learning, teacher competence, and algorithmic bias,

together with Chinese policy documents and recent studies of international Chinese language education. It is a conceptual analysis rather than a systematic review or an evaluation of one commercial product. The categories therefore identify recurrent issues and their strategic implications; they do not estimate effect sizes. A similar SWOT approach has been used to assess generative AI in education, where personalization and efficiency coexist with concerns about reliability, integrity, and access (Farrokhnia et al., 2024). Applying the framework to international Chinese language education adds domain-specific questions about tone, pragmatics, character learning, multilingual support, and cultural representation.

3. Strengths of AI Supported International Chinese Language Education

3.1. Adaptive Teaching and Timely Feedback

AI systems can process learner responses quickly and return feedback at a scale that is difficult to achieve through teacher marking alone. In Chinese language courses, this capacity is useful for high-frequency practice in pronunciation, character recognition, vocabulary, grammar, reading, and writing. A system can vary task difficulty, provide additional examples after an error, and recommend review materials based on a learner's recent performance. Research on AI in online education shows that personalization, prediction, assessment, and automated support are among the most common functions, although their value depends on the quality of the data and the design of the intervention (Chiu et al., 2023; Ouyang et al., 2022). Reviews focused on second-language learning also report uses in conversational practice, feedback, and self-directed learning (Kohnke et al., 2023; Yang & Li, 2024).

The same tools can support teachers. Generative systems can prepare draft exercises, contrastive examples, role-play prompts, rubrics, and differentiated versions of a task. Learning analytics can help teachers identify common errors before the next lesson. These functions are most defensible when the system produces a draft or diagnostic signal and the teacher confirms its accuracy and suitability. Used in that role, AI reduces repetitive preparation and allows teachers to spend more time on explanation, interaction, and feedback that requires knowledge of individual learners.

3.2. More Flexible Curriculum Organization

International Chinese language curricula usually combine linguistic knowledge, communicative activities, cultural learning, and integrated tasks. AI makes it easier to connect these components without treating every learner as if they followed the same sequence. A Modern Chinese course, for example, can organize phonetics, vocabulary, grammar, and characters as related modules, while diagnostic results determine the amount and type of practice assigned within each module. Recent work in international Chinese language education describes this shift from static digital resources toward more adaptive and interactive arrangements (Jin, 2023; Qu & Ding, 2023). Game-based virtual contexts can also connect discourse comprehension with motivation when the activity is designed around clear language objectives (Zhang et al., 2024).

Personalization should not fragment the curriculum into isolated drills. Learners still need a coherent progression and opportunities to reuse language across contexts. Krashen's (1985) account

of comprehensible input remains useful here: a recommendation system should present material that is accessible but extends the learner's current competence. AI can assist with calibration, but teachers must determine whether the sequence supports the course objectives and whether learners are transferring knowledge beyond the immediate exercise.

3.3. Expanded Intercultural Learning Experiences

Digital platforms can give learners access to cultural materials, simulated settings, and multilingual explanations that would otherwise be difficult to provide. Virtual and augmented environments can place a lesson in a restaurant, railway station, museum, festival, or family visit, allowing learners to connect vocabulary and grammar with social action. Research on virtual reality in language learning reports positive potential for engagement and contextualized practice, while also noting technical and design constraints (Parmaxi, 2020). The Cognitive Affective Model of Immersive Learning similarly explains that presence and agency can support interest and learning only when cognitive load is managed (Makransky & Petersen, 2021).

Cultural learning requires more than visual immersion. Intercultural communicative competence includes the ability to interpret perspectives, compare practices, and reflect on one's own assumptions (Byram, 1997). An AI-supported tea-culture activity, for instance, should not present a fixed contrast between Chinese tea and Western coffee. It should guide learners to examine variation within communities, the meanings attached to particular practices, and the limits of broad cultural labels. AI can supply materials and alternative scenarios, but teachers must frame the questions and correct reductive representations.

4. Weaknesses of AI Supported International Chinese Language Education

4.1. Limited Pragmatic and Affective Understanding

Current language models can produce fluent sentences without reliably understanding the social situation in which those sentences will be used. Chinese communication often depends on relationships, implied meanings, politeness, register, prosody, and the interaction between verbal and nonverbal cues. A model may explain a grammatical pattern correctly yet recommend an expression that sounds too direct, too formal, or culturally misplaced. Speech systems may also perform unevenly across accents and recording conditions. Reviews of ChatGPT in education and language teaching consistently warn that plausible output can contain factual, linguistic, or pedagogical errors (Kohnke et al., 2023; Lo, 2023; Tlili et al., 2023).

AI systems also infer learner engagement indirectly from clicks, response time, text, or audio. These signals cannot substitute for a teacher's knowledge of the learner and the classroom. A slower response may indicate confusion, careful thought, technical delay, or unfamiliarity with the interface. If the system treats every delay as lack of mastery, its recommendations can become counterproductive. Teachers should therefore use automated indicators as prompts for further observation, not as final judgments about motivation, emotion, or ability.

4.2. Unequal Access to Digital Infrastructure

AI-supported education depends on reliable connectivity, suitable devices, electricity, technical support, and access to platforms in the learner's location. These resources remain unevenly distributed. International connectivity data continue to show substantial differences between and within countries, while global education research cautions that technology can widen existing inequalities when access and implementation capacity are ignored (International Telecommunication Union, 2023; UNESCO, 2023). The problem is especially relevant to international Chinese language education because programs operate across regions with different infrastructure, procurement rules, and levels of institutional support.

A platform that performs well in a well-equipped university may be unusable in a low-bandwidth classroom or unaffordable after a short pilot. Digital development in international Chinese language education therefore has to include lightweight resources, downloadable content, device-sharing arrangements, and technical support in addition to advanced models (Yue & Cao, 2023). Access should be assessed at the level of actual participation: whether learners can complete the task reliably, privately, and without disproportionate cost.

4.3. Privacy and Data Governance Risks

Personalization requires data, and language-learning data can be highly revealing. Voice recordings, writing samples, error histories, interaction logs, demographic information, and inferred proficiency may be stored or processed by several service providers. The collection of biometric or behavioral data increases the consequences of weak consent, unclear retention rules, cross-border transfers, and unauthorized secondary use. UNESCO's guidance on generative AI calls for data protection, age-appropriate use, transparency, and human oversight (Miao & Holmes, 2023; UNESCO, 2021). Education-specific policy frameworks likewise treat governance and operations as part of pedagogical quality, not as separate administrative matters (Chan, 2023).

Many institutions lack the technical expertise to examine model training, data flows, or subcontractors. A teacher may use a convenient tool without knowing whether student work is retained for model improvement. This weakness cannot be solved through a general privacy statement alone. Institutions need approved-tool lists, data classifications, procurement review, deletion procedures, and clear responsibility when a system produces harm or exposes information.

5. Opportunities for High Quality Development

5.1. Flexible Provision for Diverse Learners

Demand for Chinese language learning comes from students, researchers, professionals, heritage learners, and members of the public whose schedules and goals differ. Digital provision can extend access beyond a fixed classroom and support combinations such as teacher-led lessons, asynchronous practice, peer interaction, and short workplace-oriented modules. Research on high quality development in international Chinese language education emphasizes sustainable provision, local responsiveness, and the alignment of resources with learner needs (Wang, 2023). AI can

support this direction by adapting practice and feedback across proficiency levels, provided that courses retain clear learning outcomes and qualified instructional oversight.

The opportunity is therefore broader than increasing enrollment. Institutions can use AI to offer more varied forms of participation while maintaining a common curriculum and assessment standard. A learner who cannot attend every live session may receive structured preparatory work and feedback, while classroom time is reserved for interaction that benefits most from teachers and peers. This division of labor can make programs more flexible without reducing them to unsupervised chatbot use.

5.2. A Supportive Policy Environment

China's education and artificial-intelligence policies provide a basis for long-term investment in platforms, resources, standards, and teacher development. The New Generation Artificial Intelligence Development Plan and the Education Informatization 2.0 Action Plan established early strategic direction, while the 2024 national standardization guidelines specify a broader system covering foundational standards, key technologies, products and services, industry applications, and security governance (Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, 2018; Ministry of Industry and Information Technology et al., 2024; State Council of the People's Republic of China, 2017). For international Chinese language education, these documents support coordination across educational institutions, technology providers, publishers, and overseas partners.

Policy support is most useful when it funds durable capacity instead of one-off demonstrations. Shared corpora, interoperable content standards, teacher training, accessibility requirements, and independent evaluation can benefit many institutions. Public investment can also reduce dependence on a small number of commercial systems and make high-quality resources available to programs that cannot sustain separate development teams.

5.3. Interdisciplinary Development

AI-supported Chinese language education sits at the intersection of pedagogy, applied linguistics, natural language processing, learning analytics, intercultural communication, and data governance. This creates opportunities for research teams to develop tools that reflect actual teaching problems. Educational AI research has already moved toward systems that combine content knowledge, learner modeling, feedback, and assessment (Hwang et al., 2020). Work on AI literacy also shows that effective use requires technical understanding, critical evaluation, practical application, and ethical awareness (Ng et al., 2021).

Interdisciplinary collaboration can improve both the technology and the research questions. Linguists can define error categories and pragmatic constraints; teachers can specify learning goals and classroom workflows; engineers can build and test models; cultural specialists can review representations; and governance experts can assess data practices. This arrangement makes it more likely that a system will solve a documented educational problem and less likely that instruction will be reorganized around whatever a platform happens to offer.

6. Threats to Sustainable Implementation

6.1. Platform Dependence and International Competition

The market for AI-assisted language learning is developing quickly. Institutions may become dependent on proprietary models whose prices, access rules, interfaces, or data practices can change with little notice. A curriculum built around one platform can be difficult to maintain when a service is withdrawn or unavailable in a particular country. OECD analysis of digital education ecosystems stresses the importance of governance, interoperability, and public capacity when education depends on private technology providers (OECD, 2023). Similar concerns appear in discussions of generative AI in higher education, where rapid adoption can outpace institutional policy and staff preparation (Lim et al., 2023).

International competition also affects visibility and cultural representation. Languages with larger commercial markets may receive stronger model support, more training data, and better-integrated learning products. International Chinese language education needs high-quality Chinese and multilingual resources, but it should avoid measuring success only by the number of AI tools released. Long-term competitiveness depends on reliable content, local partnerships, transparent evaluation, and the ability to adapt resources across regions.

6.2. Technical Failure and Weak Public Trust

A technically impressive demonstration can conceal instability under ordinary classroom conditions. Models may fabricate information, provide inconsistent explanations, mishandle sensitive topics, or fail when prompts differ from the examples used in testing. Educational reviews repeatedly identify reliability, bias, privacy, and limited empirical evidence as barriers to adoption (Crompton & Burke, 2023; Lo, 2023). If students or teachers encounter confident but incorrect feedback, trust can decline quickly.

Acceptance also depends on role clarity. Teachers may resist systems presented as replacements for professional judgment, while learners may distrust automated assessment when they cannot understand or challenge a decision. Research on teachers' AI knowledge argues that technical, pedagogical, content, and ethical competence need to develop together (Celik, 2023). Institutions should explain what the system does, what it does not do, and how a human can review its output. Trust should follow evidence and accountability, not promotional claims.

6.3. Cultural Bias and Inadequate Localization

AI systems learn patterns from data that may overrepresent particular regions, varieties of language, social groups, and cultural viewpoints. Algorithmic bias in education can arise from training data, labels, model design, deployment choices, or the interpretation of output (Baker & Hawn, 2022). In international Chinese language education, these problems may appear as narrow accounts of Chinese culture, stereotyped depictions of other societies, uneven treatment of accents, or feedback based on a single preferred communicative norm.

Localization is therefore an educational and ethical requirement. Materials should reflect the learners' languages, social contexts, and reasons for learning Chinese, while avoiding fixed national-character comparisons. Teachers should help learners examine variation and negotiate

meaning across cultures, consistent with intercultural communicative competence (Byram, 1997). UNESCO's ethics framework further requires attention to diversity, fairness, transparency, and human agency (UNESCO, 2021). Without these safeguards, AI may make cultural content easier to distribute while making that content less accurate and less inclusive.

7. Strategic Priorities

7.1. Build Reliable and Accessible Technical Foundations

Technical development should begin with defined teaching tasks and measurable requirements. Speech recognition tools should be tested across accents, proficiency levels, devices, and background-noise conditions. Writing-feedback systems should be checked for linguistic accuracy, consistency, explanatory quality, and alignment with the course rubric. Institutions should also specify uptime, response time, data retention, accessibility, and procedures for correction. National standardization efforts provide a useful basis, but international Chinese language education needs domain-specific benchmarks and test sets (Ministry of Industry and Information Technology et al., 2024).

Access requirements should be part of the design specification. Low-bandwidth modes, downloadable exercises, mobile compatibility, and local caching can determine whether a resource is usable. Partnerships with institutions in less well-resourced settings should include equipment, training, maintenance, and support rather than a short transfer of software. These measures address the practical conditions identified in international connectivity and education reports (International Telecommunication Union, 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

7.2. Developing Teacher Capacity for Human AI Collaboration

Teachers need more than operational familiarity with a chatbot. They must understand common model errors, data risks, prompt sensitivity, bias, and the limits of automated assessment. They also need to know when AI adds instructional value and when direct explanation, peer interaction, or teacher feedback is preferable. Intelligent-TPACK connects technical knowledge with pedagogy, subject matter, and ethics, providing a useful basis for professional development (Celik, 2023). AI literacy frameworks add critical evaluation and responsible use to practical competence (Miao & Cukurova, 2024; Ng et al., 2021).

Professional development should be organized around authentic teaching tasks. Teachers can compare AI-generated explanations, identify errors, revise materials for different learner groups, and design assignments that require learners to evaluate model output. Departments should also create peer-review routines and shared banks of validated prompts and resources. This approach treats teachers as designers and evaluators of AI-supported learning, not as end users who simply accept system recommendations.

7.3. Create Localized and Adaptive Learning Resources

Personalized learning requires a sound content base. Institutions should develop curated corpora, proficiency-tagged examples, pronunciation data, interlanguage error resources, and culturally reviewed multimedia materials. Learner profiles should use the minimum data needed to

recommend an appropriate activity. Recommendations should remain explainable to teachers and learners and should allow correction when the system misclassifies an error or interest.

Adaptive sequences can follow the principle of comprehensible input by extending current competence without overwhelming the learner (Krashen, 1985). In practice, this means using several signals rather than one score, allowing learners to request easier or harder material, and linking personalized practice back to shared course objectives. Chinese-language resources should also be adapted for local curricula, first-language backgrounds, and communicative settings. Digital tools can enable this work, but localization requires collaboration with teachers and institutions in the target region (Qu & Ding, 2023; Yue & Cao, 2023).

7.4. Establish Data Algorithm and Procurement Governance

Institutions should classify the data used by AI systems and specify which tools may process each category. Voiceprints, identifiable student work, assessment records, and minors' data require stricter controls than public teaching materials. Consent should be meaningful, data collection should be limited to a stated purpose, retention periods should be defined, and deletion should be verifiable. Contracts should disclose service providers, storage locations, model-training uses, security obligations, and procedures after a breach (Chan, 2023; Miao & Holmes, 2023).

Governance also needs an accountable human decision maker. Automated output should not determine a high-stakes grade, placement, or disciplinary decision without review. Learners need a route to question feedback and request correction. Regular audits should examine accuracy and differential performance across proficiency levels, language backgrounds, regions, and demographic groups. These practices respond directly to documented sources of algorithmic bias in education (Baker & Hawn, 2022).

7.5. Evaluate Before Scaling

AI initiatives should move through staged trials with clear comparison criteria. A pilot should identify the instructional problem, the expected benefit, the relevant learner group, and the risks that would make the tool unsuitable. Evaluation can include learning gains, error reduction, transfer to unaided performance, teacher workload, learner experience, accessibility, cost, and the frequency and severity of inaccurate output. The measures should match the task; engagement alone cannot establish learning.

Results should inform revision before expansion. A system that saves preparation time but produces frequent pragmatic errors may be useful for draft generation and unsuitable for unsupervised learner feedback. A virtual environment that increases interest but imposes high cognitive load may need simpler interaction and stronger pre-task guidance (Makransky & Petersen, 2021). This evidence cycle allows institutions to scale functions that work, modify those that are promising, and discontinue those whose costs or risks exceed their educational value.

8. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence can support high quality development in international Chinese language education when it is used for clearly defined pedagogical purposes. Its strongest contributions lie in rapid feedback, differentiated practice, resource generation, and access to varied learning contexts. Its current limits are equally consequential: generated language can be pragmatically inappropriate or factually wrong, digital access is uneven, learner data are sensitive, and cultural bias can be reproduced at scale.

The SWOT analysis shows that sustainable implementation depends on institutional capacity. Reliable infrastructure, teacher expertise, localized resources, governance, and evaluation determine whether technical capability becomes educational value. Teachers should retain authority over objectives, materials, interpretation, and assessment, while AI handles selected tasks that benefit from speed and pattern recognition. This division of responsibility offers a practical basis for expanding access and personalization without weakening instructional quality, cultural care, or accountability.

Author Contributions:

The author confirms sole responsibility for the conception and design of the study, literature review, theoretical analysis, development of the risk-governance framework, manuscript writing, and revision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding:

This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement:

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement:

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors on request.

Conflict of Interest:

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Baker, R. S., & Hawn, A. (2022). Algorithmic bias in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 32, 1052–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00285-9>
- Byram, M. (1997). Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence. *Multilingual Matters*.

- Celik, I. (2023). Towards Intelligent-TPACK: An empirical study on teachers' professional knowledge to ethically integrate artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools into education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 138, 107468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107468>
- Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20, Article 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00408-3>
- Chiu, T. K. F., Xia, Q., Zhou, X., Chai, C. S., & Cheng, M. (2023). Systematic literature review on opportunities, challenges, and future research recommendations of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100118>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20, Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>
- Farrokhnia, M., Banihashem, S. K., Noroozi, O., & Wals, A. (2024). A SWOT analysis of ChatGPT: Implications for educational practice and research. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 61(3), 460–474. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2195846>
- Helms, M. M., & Nixon, J. (2010). Exploring SWOT analysis: Where are we now? A review of academic research from the last decade. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 3(3), 215–251. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17554251011064837>
- Hwang, G. J., Xie, H., Wah, B. W., & Gašević, D. (2020). Vision, challenges, roles and research issues of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 1, 100001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100001>
- International Telecommunication Union. (2023). Facts and figures 2023. <https://www.itu.int/itu-d/reports/statistics/facts-figures-2023/>
- Jin, X. (2023). Functions, practical challenges, and strategies for deep integration of ChatGPT into international Chinese language education. *Journal of Yunnan Normal University Humanities and Social Sciences Edition*, 55(4), 52–58.
- Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2023). ChatGPT for language teaching and learning. *RELJ Journal*, 54(2), 537–550. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882231162868>
- Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The input hypothesis: Issues and implications*. Longman.
- Lim, W. M., Gunasekara, A., Pallant, J. L., Pallant, J. I., & Pechenkina, E. (2023). Generative AI and the future of education: Ragnarök or reformation? A paradoxical perspective from management educators. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 21(2), 100790. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100790>
- Lo, C. K. (2023). What is the impact of ChatGPT on education? A rapid review of the literature. *Education Sciences*, 13(4), 410. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13040410>
- Makransky, G., & Petersen, G. B. (2021). The Cognitive Affective Model of Immersive Learning (CAMIL): A theoretical research-based model of learning in immersive virtual reality. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33, 937–958. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09586-2>
- Miao, F., & Cukurova, M. (2024). AI competency framework for teachers. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000391104>

- Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO.
<https://doi.org/10.54675/EWZM9535>
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. (2018). Education informatization 2.0 action plan. http://www.moe.gov.cn/srsite/A16/s3342/201804/t20180425_334188.html
- Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, Office of the Central Cyberspace Affairs Commission, National Development and Reform Commission, & Standardization Administration of China. (2024). National artificial intelligence industry comprehensive standardization system construction guidelines 2024 edition. https://www.cac.gov.cn/2024-07/03/c_1721686809220407.htm
- Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Chu, S. K. W., & Qiao, M. S. (2021). Conceptualizing AI literacy: An exploratory review. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100041>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). OECD Digital Education Outlook 2023: Towards an effective digital education ecosystem. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/c74f03de-en>
- Ouyang, F., Zheng, L., & Jiao, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in online higher education: A systematic review of empirical research from 2011 to 2020. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(6), 7893–7925. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10925-9>
- Parmaxi, A. (2020). Virtual reality in language learning: A systematic review and implications for research and practice. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 172–184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1765392>
- Qu, F., & Ding, A. (2023). Digital transformation of international Chinese language education: Connotations, characteristics, and paths. *Journal of Yunnan Normal University Teaching and Research on Chinese as a Foreign Language*, 21(5), 1–9.
- State Council of the People's Republic of China. (2017). New generation artificial intelligence development plan. http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2017-07/20/content_5211996.htm
- Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M. A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D. T., Huang, R., & Agyemang, B. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10, Article 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00237-x>
- UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000381137>
- UNESCO. (2023). Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education: A tool on whose terms. <https://doi.org/10.54676/UZQV8501>
- Wang, H. (2023). Connotations, characteristics, and practical approaches of high quality development in international Chinese language education. *Journal of Sichuan Normal University Social Sciences Edition*, 50(4), 131–137.
- Yang, L., & Li, R. (2024). ChatGPT for L2 learning: Current status and implications. *System*, 124, 103351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2024.103351>
- Yue, S., & Cao, M. (2023). The value and practical approaches of digitally enabled international Chinese language education. *Journal of Research on Education for Ethnic Minorities*, 34(6), 149–156.

Zhang, L., Fang, L., & Shang, J. (2024). Applying gamified virtual contexts in international Chinese language education: A discourse cognition and emotional motivation perspective. *E-Education Research*, 45(7), 121–128.

License: Copyright (c) 2026 Author.

All articles published in this journal are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited. Authors retain copyright of their work, and readers are free to copy, share, adapt, and build upon the material for any purpose, including commercial use, as long as appropriate attribution is given.